

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Abs.andris, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspho.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/621,788	07/21/2000	Daniele Casalini	12707 P03	4984
7590 11/28/2003			EXAMINER	
Jerry Cohen Perkins, Smith &	& Cohen		KEEHAN, CHRISTOPHER M	
One Beacon Street Boston, MA 02108			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, ,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/621,788	CASALINI, DANIELE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher M. Keehan	1712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Se	eptember 2003.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-5,8-12 and 15-18 is/are pending in the short elements of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5,8-12 and 15-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the prioriapplication from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78. a) □ The translation of the foreign language pro 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati- ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receive c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e st sentence of the specification or visional application has been receive c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The rejection of claims 1-8, 12, and 16-18 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Saam (4,244,849) has been maintained and is as set forth in the previous office action.

The rejection of claims 1-3, 5-12, and 14, 15, 17, and 18 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Swei (5,182,173) has been maintained and is as set forth in the previous office action.

The rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Chen et al. (5,991,591) has been maintained and is as set forth in the previous office action.

The rejection of claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Neal (6,510,777 B2) has been withdrawn due to applicant's arguments.

New Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 1712

Claims 1-5, 8-12, and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. Single hard grids are critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but are not included in the claim(s) and are not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). In claim 1, applicant has amended the claim to include "single hard grids," and there appears to be no support in the specification or the original claims for this subject matter.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/25/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding applicant's arguments concerning the 102/103 rejection of claims 1-8, 12, and 16-18 over Saam (4,244,849), on page 8 of applicant's response, that Saam is directed toward elastomeric layers and that the instant claim 1 is drawn to a surface coated hard material comprising single hard grids which are coated by a polysiloxane layer, it should be noted that applicant has not claimed a polysiloxane layer that is non-elastomeric, and therefore Saam still reads on the claims. Regarding the added limitation of single hard grids, as the hard material of Saam is the same as used by applicant, and applicant has not disclosed how the single hard grids are created, it appears that the hard material of Saam also would possess these single hard grids.

Regarding applicant's arguments concerning the 102/103 rejections of claims 1-3, 5-12, and 14, 15, 17, and 18 over Swei (5,182,173), applicant has stated that Swei teaches an inorganic core and a layer of a silicone that covers the inorganic core and is

Art Unit: 1712

chemically bound thereto (page 9, first paragraph of applicant's response). This teaching directly reads on the instant claim 1. Applicant has claimed a surface coated hard material, the surface of which has a polysiloxane coating. This appears to be within the teachings of Swei. Regarding the added limitation of single hard grids, as the hard material of Swei is the same as used by applicant, and applicant has not disclosed how the single hard grids are created, it appears that the hard material of Swei also would possess these single hard grids.

Applicant has not argued the merits of the 103(a) rejection of claim 13 over Swei (5,182,173) in view of Erickson et al. (5,645,619) in this response.

Regarding applicant's arguments concerning the rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Chen et al. (5,991,591), applicant has argued that the presently claimed invention differs in that it requires hard material grids, the hard material of Chen et al. is the same as used by applicant, and applicant has not disclosed how the single hard grids are created, it appears that the hard material of Chen et al. also would possess these single hard grids.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 1712

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Christopher M. Keehan whose telephone number is

(703) 305-2778. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, from 6:30

to 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rebest A. Dawson can be reached on 300 2000. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0661.

Christopher Keehan

November 13, 2003

Robart Casson. Supervisory Extent Examiner

Page 5

Technology Conter 1700